

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DONTE WOODS,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2:23-cv-00991-ART-NJK

## SCEDULING ORDER

v.

RONALD OLIVER, *et al.*,

## Respondents.

In this habeas corpus action, the Court has appointed counsel for the petitioner, Donte Woods. (ECF No. 9.) Appointed counsel appeared on Petitioner's behalf on August 10, 2023. (ECF No. 10.) Respondents have also appeared. (ECF No. 11.)

It is therefore ordered that the following schedule will govern further proceedings in this action:

Petitioner may file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus within 90 days after entry of this Order. The amended petition must specifically state whether each ground for relief has been exhausted in state court; for each claim that has been exhausted in state court, the amended petition must state how, when, and where that occurred. If Petitioner determines that an amended petition need not be filed, then, within 90 days after entry of this Order, Petitioner must file a notice to that effect.

Respondents will have 60 days following the filing of the amended petition to file an answer or other response to the amended petition. If Petitioner does not file an amended petition, Respondents will have 60 days following the due date for the amended petition to file an answer or other response to the original petition.

1 Petitioner will have 45 days following the filing of an answer to file a reply.  
2 Respondents will thereafter have 30 days following the filing of a reply to file a  
3 response to the reply.

4 If Respondents file a motion to dismiss, Petitioner will have 60 days  
5 following the filing of the motion to file a response to the motion. Respondents  
6 will thereafter have 30 days following the filing of the response to file a reply.

7 If Petitioner wishes to move for leave to conduct discovery, Petitioner must  
8 file such motion concurrently with, but separate from, the response to  
9 Respondents' motion to dismiss or the reply to Respondents' answer. Any motion  
10 for leave to conduct discovery filed by Petitioner before that time may be  
11 considered premature, and may be denied, without prejudice, on that basis.  
12 Respondents must file a response to any such motion concurrently with, but  
13 separate from, their reply in support of their motion to dismiss or their response  
14 to Petitioner's reply. Thereafter, Petitioner will have 20 days to file a reply in  
15 support of the motion for leave to conduct discovery.

16 If Petitioner wishes to request an evidentiary hearing, Petitioner must file a  
17 motion for an evidentiary hearing concurrently with, but separate from, the  
18 response to Respondents' motion to dismiss or the reply to Respondents' answer.  
19 Any motion for an evidentiary hearing filed by Petitioner before that time may be  
20 considered premature, and may be denied, without prejudice, on that basis. The  
21 motion for an evidentiary hearing must specifically address why an evidentiary  
22 hearing is required and must meet the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e). The  
23 motion must state whether an evidentiary hearing was held in state court, and,  
24 if so, state where the transcript is located in the record. If Petitioner files a motion  
25 for an evidentiary hearing, Respondents must file a response to that motion  
26 concurrently with, but separate from, their reply in support of their motion to  
27 dismiss or their response to Petitioner's reply. Thereafter, Petitioner will have 20  
28 days to file a reply in support of the motion for an evidentiary hearing.

1 It is further ordered that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d),  
2 Ronald Oliver is substituted for Gabriela Najera as the respondent warden. The  
3 Clerk of the Court is directed to update the docket to reflect this change.  
4

5 DATED THIS 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2023.



---

6  
7 ANNE R. TRAUM  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28